

**LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY
FEBRUARY 22, 2006**

1. ROLL CALL

The meeting was called to order at 7:10 p.m. Board members present were Vice-Chair Scott McLaughlin, Marlon Luis, John Stevens (arrived at 7:13 p.m.), and Mimi Turin. Also present were Town Attorney Monroe Kiar, Planning and Zoning Manager Bruce Dell, Planner Ingrid Allen, and Board Secretary Janet Gale recording the meeting. Chair Mike Bender was absent.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES: February 8, 2006

Vice-Chair McLaughlin asked that a correction be made on page two, paragraph five – that the word “development” be changed to “redevelopment”. Ms. Gale indicated she would make the correction as Vice-Chair McLaughlin explained the statement and was certain of his remarks.

Mr. Luis made a motion, seconded by Ms. Turin, to approve subject to the correction. In a voice vote, with Chair Bender and Mr. Stevens being absent, all voted in favor. **Motion carried 3-0**

3. PUBLIC HEARING

- 3.1 A RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF DAVIE, FLORIDA, ADOPTING THE EVALUATION AND APPRAISAL REPORT FOR THE TOWN OF DAVIE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN; STATING THE INTENT OF THE TOWN COUNCIL TO AMEND THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN BASED UPON RECOMMENDATIONS CONTAINED IN THE REPORT; AND APPROVING TRANSMITTAL OF THE REPORT TO THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 163.3191, FLORIDA STATUTES.
(tabled from February 8, 2006)

Ms. Allen refreshed the Agency on the actions taken at the last meeting. She advised them of the tables and pages which had been revised and provided the corrected documents. Ms. Allen explained that the Town had contacted the traffic consultant who reanalyzed the tables and submitted new figures. She was open to questions.

Vice-Chair McLaughlin advised that he needed clarification in understanding the “flow chart” on page 20, Figure II.B.1., (Capital Projects Organizational Review Process). He was perplexed by the exclusive designation for the Public Works Department.

Mr. Dell explained that historically, the Public Works Department looked at the Capital Improvement budget independently of the department heads as it was the one entity that ultimately administered the projects. He believed that the review by the department heads was simultaneous with the review by Public Works and contended that it was returned to the Town Administrator eventually before further action.

Vice-Chair McLaughlin was not sure this should be the logical path for setting up how the Town operated in future years; however, it did clarify somewhat his understanding. On page 27, Table II.C.4, he pointed out a typographical error that needed to be corrected to read \$199,999 and Ms. Allen indicated that the correction would be made. Vice-Chair McLaughlin corrected three mistakes where streets had been noted as avenues on page 55, Table II.E.3 which Ms. Allen noted.

Mr. Stevens questioned who defined the Affordable and Workforce Housing, as he believed it was totally unrealistic. Ms. Allen advised that it came from the Town’s Affordable Housing Incentive Plan which was the “norm” and which was constantly changing.

Ms. Turin remarked that on page 69, where it addressed traffic calming measures, she expected more “concrete” ideas to implement in that section of the plan in order to create the “rural atmosphere” the Town was trying to achieve. Although the text spoke in generalities, Mr. Dell advised of the types of devices which could be applied. Ms. Turin explained the types of measures which she would like to have seen in that section of the report. Mr. Dell clarified that those issues would be addressed in a Master Highway Plan that was being prepared for the County.

**LOCAL PLANNING AGENCY
FEBRUARY 22, 2006**

Mr. Kiar asked about Table II.E.3., on page 55 and the map which followed. Since there was a conflict in the information, he asked which was correct the map or the table. Ms. Allen indicated she would find out which was correct and make the appropriate change.

Vice-Chair McLaughlin asked if anyone wished to speak for or against this item. As no one spoke, the public hearing was closed.

Ms. Turin made a motion, seconded by Mr. Stevens, to recommend approval to Council subject to the changes and corrections that were made. In a roll call vote, the vote was as follows: Chair Bender – absent; Vice-Chair McLaughlin – yes; Mr. Luis – yes; Mr. Stevens – yes; Ms. Turin – yes. **(Motion carried 4-0)**

4. OLD BUSINESS

There was no old business discussed.

5. NEW BUSINESS

Ms. Gale advised the Agency that the tentatively scheduled meeting for March 22nd may be changed as the Town Council meeting may be rescheduled to that day. She indicated that she would keep them informed.

6. COMMENTS AND/OR SUGGESTIONS

There were no comments and/or suggestions made.

7. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business and no objections, the meeting was adjourned at 7:35 p.m.

Date Approved: _____

Chair/Agency Member