

MONROE D. KIAR
TOWN ATTORNEY
TOWN OF DAVIE
6191 SW 45th Street, Suite 6151A
Davie, Florida 33314
(954) 584-9770

TOWN ATTORNEY REPORT

DATE: September 12, 2002

FROM: Monroe D. Kiar

RE: Litigation Update

1. **Sunrise Water Acquisition Negotiations:** The Town requested competitive proposals for providing engineering services to conduct a western area utilities study. The Bid Selection Committee ranked URS as its first choice. At the Town Council Meeting of October 3, 2001, a resolution was approved selecting URS to provide engineering services for the western area utilities study and authorizing the Town Administrator to negotiate an agreement with URS for such services. The Town Attorney's Office has spoken with Mr. Cohen, who indicated that negotiations with URS for such services have been ongoing. Mr. Cohen indicated that the Town recently met with representatives from URS and requested that URS provide to the Town a Memorandum of Services setting forth the anticipated costs for each service to be rendered. The Town has just received a response from URS and it will be reviewing same to determine the precise cost of this project and to further determine if there are funds presently available to allow for the retaining of URS to conduct such services this fiscal year. Mr. Cohen further advises that the Town has received considerable documentation from the City of Sunrise which his staff has been sorting through. There are ongoing discussions at this time with Sunrise regarding the documentation provided to the Town.
2. **Seventy-Five East, Inc. and Griffin-Orange North, Inc. v. Town of Davie:** A Final Order and Judgment Granting Petition for Common Law Certiorari was entered by Judge Patricia Cocalis in these two consolidated cases. Pursuant to the direction given to Mr. Burke by the Davie Town Council, an appeal of the Order entered by Judge Cocalis was filed with the 4th District Court of Appeal, but the 4th District Court of Appeal denied the Town's Petition for Writ of Certiorari on the Merits and Without Opinion, ordered that the matter be remanded back to the Town Council and requiring that it vote on the application based on the record as it existed prior to the filing of the Writ of Certiorari and in accordance with the Final Judgment entered by Judge Cocalis. The Petitioner has now requested this matter be placed again, on the Town Council Agenda. This matter is tentatively scheduled for the October 2, 2002 Town Council Meeting and Mr. Burke has indicated that he will provide the Councilmembers with further direction relevant to this matter prior to that date.
3. **MVP Properties, Inc.:** The Plaintiff previously filed a multi-count lawsuit in the United States

District Court for the Southern District of Florida where a Final Summary Judgment in favor of the Town and against Plaintiff, MVP Properties, Inc. was granted by the Court. MVP Properties, Inc. appealed to the 11th Circuit Court of Appeals which later affirmed the decision of the lower court in favor of the Town of Davie and against the Plaintiff, MVP Properties, Inc. The Town is currently pursuing collection of the Judgment for costs that has been obtained from MVP Properties, Inc. In the meantime, MVP Properties, Inc. has instituted a new lawsuit in which it has filed a Complaint for Inverse Condemnation against the Town of Davie. The Florida League of Cities declined to represent the Town in this latest lawsuit as actions for inverse condemnation are excluded from coverage by the League. Accordingly, the Town Attorney's Office has reviewed the Complaint for Inverse Condemnation filed by MVP Properties, Inc. against the Town of Davie and has timely filed a Motion to Dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint. Said Motion to Dismiss has been scheduled for hearing for Tuesday, October 29, 2002, at 2:00 P.M. The Town Attorney's Office is confident in the outcome of this litigation.

4. **Town of Davie v. Malka:** The Town Attorney's Office has again spoken with the Chief Building Official, Mr. Craig, who has again confirmed that the exterior of the home is complete and that Mr. Malka is continuing to complete the interior which will consist of a living room addition. The building official has indicated that his department will continue to keep close contact with this property owner to insure proper completion of all additions to the structure as promised.

5. **City of Pompano Beach, et al v. Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services:** As indicated in prior Litigation Reports, on May 24, 2002, Judge Fleet issued a 19 page Order on the Motion for Temporary Injunction in which he concluded that the Amendments regarding the Citrus Canker litigation enacted by the Florida Legislature as codified in Florida Statutes Section 581.184, was an invalid invasion of the constitutional safeguard contained in both the United States Constitution and the Constitution of the State of Florida. The Judge ultimately entered a statewide Stay Order enjoining the Department of Agriculture from entering upon private property in the absence of a valid search warrant issued by an authorized judicial officer and executed by one authorized by law to do so. The Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services filed its Notice of Appeal seeking review by the 4th District Court of Appeal. The Department of Agriculture also filed a Motion with the 4th District Court of Appeal seeking that the appellate procedures be expedited, and a motion in which there was a suggestion for "bypass" certification to the Supreme Court of Florida. The Department of Agriculture contended that in light of the gravity and emergency nature of the issues, the matter should be certified by the 4th District Court of Appeal directly to the Supreme Court for its adjudication since the Department of Agriculture anticipated that regardless as to how the 4th District Court of Appeal rules on the matter, it would in fact be appealed by either the Department of Agriculture or by the County and coalition of cities to the Supreme Court of Florida for final adjudication. The 4th District Court of Appeal in fact for only the fourth time in its history, did certify this matter directly to the Florida Supreme Court for adjudication. The Florida Supreme Court however, refused to hear this matter at this stage and remanded it back to the 4th District Court of Appeal for further proceeding. Both the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services and the County and coalition of cities have filed their respective Appellate Briefs. The Florida Department of Agriculture recently filed a Reply Brief to the Brief filed by Broward County and the coalition of cities. The Town Attorney has spoken this date with Chief Appellate Attorney for the

Broward County Attorney's Office, and he has again confirmed that at this point, the parties expect to receive shortly either a decision from the 4th District Court of Appeal or notification that the Court wishes oral arguments to be presented by the parties. In the meantime, the Department of Agriculture has served a series of Interrogatories upon the Town of Davie and each of the other Defendants which will be answered within the time permitted by the Rules of Civil Procedure.

6. **Christina MacKenzie Maranon v. Town of Davie:** The Town of Davie filed a Motion for Summary Final Judgment on behalf of the Town of Davie and Police Officer Quentin Taylor seeking to dismiss both parties as defendants in this lawsuit. In response, the Plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint naming the Town of Davie only as a defendant. Officer Taylor was no longer named a party to these proceedings. The Florida League of Cities attorney assigned to this case has filed a Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint and has advised the Town Attorney's Office that if it is not granted, he will again file a Motion for Summary Judgment. I spoke with Mr. McDuff, our special legal counsel on this date, and he has indicated that the Judge has still not ruled upon his Motion to Dismiss. It is Mr. McDuff's belief that this case will ultimately be dismissed however, by the Court in its entirety.
7. **Reinfeld v. Town of Davie, et al:** Previously, both the Town Administrator and former Vice Mayor Weiner were dismissed as defendants in this lawsuit. This matter was previously scheduled to be tried sometime in May, 2002, but at the request of Ms. Reinfeld's legal counsel, the trial of the action was postponed and was last scheduled to be heard during the 2 week trial period commencing October 7, 2002. The Town filed a Motion for Summary Judgment and the Court rendered an opinion granting in part, the Town's Motion for Summary Judgment. The Plaintiff, Gail Reinfeld was given the opportunity to file another Amended Complaint and she did so. Since then, she has filed a Second Amended Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial. Mr. Burke's Office then filed an Answer and Defenses to the Second Amended Complaint and another Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. In the meantime, Ms. Reinfeld's attorneys made an offer to settle this case for the sum of \$60,000.00 which the Florida League of Cities rejected. The Plaintiff filed a Response and Motion to Strike the Town's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. An appropriate response to the Plaintiff's Motion to Strike was filed by Mr. Burke. The Court has now granted the Town's request for a Summary Judgment in its favor with respect to the Equal Protection Gender Discrimination claim asserted by the Plaintiff in her Second Amended Complaint. In her original Complaint, the Plaintiff filed a lawsuit consisting of five counts. The only claim which now remains in the case is Ms. Reinfeld's assertion that she was deprived of liberty without procedural due process in connection with termination of her employment. The Plaintiff claims that false statements were made that she perjured herself and released medical information in violation of Florida statutory law, and that she was not given an opportunity to present her side of the story concerning the allegations. This fifth count with respect to the liberty interest claim is the only remaining count that has not been dismissed and Mr. Burke advises that it can only be resolved through trial or a negotiated resolution. He has expressed confidence in the outcome of this litigation.
8. **Spur Road Property:** Mr. Burke had previously given a presentation to the Town Council and at that time, advised the Council that the Division of Administrative Hearings had ruled against the Town of Davie's protest to the Department of Transportation's award of the property to the highest bidder, and that a Final Order had been entered by the Department of

Transportation adopting the recommendations of the Judge for the Division of Administrative Hearings. The Council then authorized Mr. Burke to take an appeal of the Final Order that was entered against the Town and Mr. Burke timely filed a Notice of Appeal. The Clerk of the Department of Transportation thereafter, prepared the Record for Appeal and the Appellate Brief was prepared and timely filed by Mr. Burke's office. In the meantime, the Department of Transportation continues to own the property and has not transferred title to the highest bidder. The Department of Transportation has now filed its Brief and on this date, I spoke to Mr. Burke, who confirmed to the Town Attorney that his office is currently preparing a Reply Brief which will be filed in a timely manner.

9. **Victoria Saldena v. Town of Davie:** Ms. Saldena sued the Town of Davie and another defendant relevant to an automobile accident. The parties conducted considerable discovery, including the taking of depositions of the treating physician as well as the doctor hired by the Plaintiff's PIP carrier, and several independent witnesses. Most of the individuals deposed seemed to be consistent in their impression that Ms. Saldena sustained minimal injuries. One witness however, did testify that her mother, the driver of the other vehicle, did not strike Ms. Saldena's vehicle which was immediately in front of her until pushed into it by the police officer's vehicle which struck her vehicle in the rear. Prior to this matter going to trial, it was recently settled with the Plaintiff for the sum of \$6,250.00. Mr. Johnson indicates that he will not be seeking reimbursement of the \$6,250.00 from the Town.
10. **Peter Castagna v. Officers Brito and Williams:** Peter Castagna recently filed a lawsuit against Officers Daniel Brito and Paul Williams alleging an action for damages pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. 1983, for alleged false imprisonment, battery and alleged intentional infliction of emotional distress. The outside legal counsel assigned by the Florida League of Cities to defend the police officers at the League's expense, filed a Motion to Dismiss the lawsuit instituted by Mr. Castagna. Prior to the Motion being heard, the attorneys for Mr. Castagna filed an Amended Complaint and our special outside legal counsel has filed a Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint. The Town Attorney has spoken recently with Mr. McDuff, the Florida League of Cities Attorney, and Mr. McDuff has advised that it is his belief that the case is very defensible and he anticipates a successful verdict in favor of the two police officers. It is his belief that the evidence will show that there was no improper conduct by the police officers in this matter.
11. **Covenant House of Florida, Inc. v. Town of Davie:** A Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Writ of Mandamus and Supplementary or Alternatively, a Petition for Relief Pursuant to Section 163.3215, Fla. Stat. (2002), was furnished to the Town Attorney's Office as well as Mayor Venis by mail on June 14, 2002, and received by the Town Attorney's Office on June 17, 2002. The pleading was immediately forwarded to the Town Administrator with the request that the Florida League of Cities be contacted to see if they would provide legal defense for the Town with regard to this lawsuit at its expense, under its policy of insurance with the Town. The Florida League of Cities accepted the case and assigned outside legal counsel to represent the Town. The Petitioner originally sought an Order to Show Cause from Judge Estella Moriarty, but Judge Moriarty recused herself as she had been previously affiliated with Covenant House of Florida. Since then, the case has been reassigned to Judge Carney and an Order to Show Cause has been entered by Judge Carney requiring that the Town respond to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Writ of Mandamus. The special legal counsel assigned by the Florida League of Cities has filed his response to the Petition for Writ

of Certiorari and Writ of Mandamus. The Town has received a settlement proposal from the Plaintiff, Covenant House of Florida, Inc., and an Executive Session will be held on September 12, 2002 to allow the Council to consider same.

12. **Pelican Coast Holdings, Inc. and William Cuthbertson v. Town of Davie:** A Petition for Certiorari was served upon the Town along with an Order to Show Cause signed by Judge Burnstein requiring the Town of Davie to show cause why the relief requested in the Petition for Certiorari should not be granted. On July 22, 2002, Appellee, Town of Davie, filed its response to the Petition for Writ of Certiorari and Pelican Coast Holdings, Inc. and William Cuthbertson have since filed their Reply Brief. Mr. Burke has advised the Town Attorney that oral argument in this matter is now scheduled for October 3, 2002. The response filed by the Town of Davie asserts that the Petition should be denied because the Town Council lawfully imposed the condition on the Site Plan approval necessary to implement the alcoholic beverage establishment separation requirement set forth in Section 12-34(c) of the Town's Land Development Code. While the B-2 District permits a variety of uses which involve the sale of alcoholic beverages, the uses are permitted subject to compliance with objective separation requirements set forth in the Town Code.

13. **Math Iglar Groves (Town of Davie v. Rober Corporation, Inc.):** On June 4, 2002, a full day trial of this matter was conducted by the Town Attorney's Office and Code Enforcement. At the conclusion of the trial, the Special Master requested that the Respondent, Rober Corporation, and the Town of Davie each submit a Memorandum of Law in support of their respective positions. The position of the Town of Davie was that Rober Corporation, Inc. was operating a convenience store and selling alcoholic beverages at the property contrary to the A-1 Zoning District without a Town of Davie occupational license, and in violation of Section 12-32, which does not permit these uses, and that it was guilty of violating other provisions of the Davie Town Code. On June 26, 2002, the Special Master entered a Final Order, a copy of which was previously forwarded to the Mayor and Councilmembers by the Town Attorney's Office on July 1, 2002. The Special Master concluded from the evidence presented at the hearing on June 4, 2002, and the supporting Memoranda of Law, that the Respondent, Rober Corporation, Inc. has been operating a convenience store and selling alcoholic beverages at the property contrary to the A-1 Zoning District without a Town of Davie occupational license and that as a result, the Respondent has violated Section 12-32, namely the operation of a convenience store and the sale of alcoholic beverages as non-permitted uses; Section 12-33(T), namely the consumption of alcoholic beverages and gathering of patrons on or about the interior and exterior of the premises constituting a neighborhood nuisance; Section 12-33(W), namely engaging in prohibited outdoor activities; and Section 13-17, namely engaging in a business without the required occupational license. The Special Master ordered the Respondent to comply with said provisions within sixty (60) days. The Order further required the Respondent to thereafter continuously comply with all of the aforesaid Davie Town Code Sanctions and failure to do would be considered a violation of the Order and the matter would then be set for hearing before the Special Master to consider the assessment of an administrative fine of up to \$250.00 per day per violation and the imposition of a lien as provided by §162.09 of the Florida Statutes. On this date the Town Attorney's Office spoke with Mr. Stallone, who has confirmed that the Respondent has in fact complied with the Special Master's Order and he has removed all alcoholic beverage from the site and discontinued the sale of convenience store items.

14. **DePaola v. Town of Davie:** Plaintiff DePaola has filed a lawsuit against the Town of Davie and the Florida League of Cities attorney assigned to the case has filed a Motion to Dismiss. The Motion to Dismiss was heard by Judge Burnstein, who requested that both sides file Memoranda of Law and she has taken the case under advisement. Mr. Burke advised the Town Attorney this date that he has timely filed his Memorandum of Law in Support of the Town's Motion to Dismiss.

15. **Southern Homes of Davie, LLC v. Davie (Charleston Oaks Plat) Case No. 02-015674 (11):** The Town was served with a Summons and Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and Injunction and Petition for Writ of Mandamus with regard to Case Number 02-015674 (11) instituted by Southern Homes of Davie, LLC against the Town of Davie relevant to the "Charleston Oaks Plat". The Florida League of Cities has accepted responsibility for providing a defense to the Town of Davie relevant to this lawsuit and has assigned the case to Attorney Michael Burke. The Plaintiff is seeking both equitable relief and monetary damages against the Town. The Plaintiff is alleging that they have suffered injury as a result of the Town's refusal to process, review and/or approve its Site Plan Application while the Zoning in Progress has been in effect. They are seeking an Order declaring that the Plaintiff is entitled to approval of its Site Plan Application and that the Town be estopped to apply the "Zoning in Progress"; declaring that the Zoning in Progress does not exist and/or does not apply to Plaintiff's Site Plan Application and/or Plaintiff's property, and other relief. Mr. Burke's law firm is in the process of preparing an appropriate response to the Plaintiff's Complaint. Attorney Laystrom has also provided the Town Attorney's Office with a courtesy copy of a second Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and Injunction and Petition for Mandamus which Southern Homes of Davie II, LLC will be filing against the Town of Davie with regard to the "Flamingo Plat". A copy of this second Complaint has been forwarded to the Town's Risk Manager so that he in turn, may contact the Florida League of Cities seeking its acceptance of this case and the League providing defense similar to the first lawsuit.

16. **Moises Narvaez v. Town of Davie:** Mr. McDuff, the League of Cities attorney assigned to this case, advises that Mr. Narvaez claims that while at the Players Pub bar, he was punched by another patron. According to the Davie Police that when they were summoned to the bar, they asked the Plaintiff, who had been hit in the face, whether he wanted medical attention and he indicated that he did not want medical attention. According to the Plaintiff, the next day when he awoke at home, he was unable to walk. Mr. McDuff indicates that while at Memorial Hospital in Hollywood, the Plaintiff indicated that he was beaten by several individuals while walking home from the bar. Mr. McDuff indicates that at his deposition, Plaintiff later changed his account of what happened and is now contending that the Town was negligent in not providing him with medical attention at the time of the incident at the Players Pub bar. This matter is set for trial period commencing November 4, 2002.